

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
9

10 THE LASH GROUP, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company,

Case No.:
2:24-cv-00843-ART-EJY

11 Plaintiff,

ORDER GRANTING

12 v.

13 DAYA MEDICALS, INC., a Nevada
14 corporation.

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND
DEADLINES FOR REMAINING
BRIEFING ON DEFENDANT /
COUNTERCLAIMANT DAYA
MEDICALS, INC.'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
(ECF NO. 36)**

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 DAYA MEDICALS, INC., a Canadian
corporation

[SECOND REQUEST]

18 Counterclaimant,

19 v.

20 THE LASH GROUP, LLC, a Delaware limited
21 liability company

22 Counter-Defendant.
23 _____/

24 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b), Nev. LR IA 6-1, and Nev. LR IA 6-2, Plaintiff and Counter-
25 Defendant The Lash Group, LLC (“Lash Group”) and Defendant and Counterclaimant Daya
26 Medicals, Inc. (“DayaMed”) (and together with Lash Group, the “Parties”) hereby stipulate as
27 follows:

28 ///

1 1. On May 9, 2025, DayaMed filed a *Motion for Summary Judgment* (ECF No. 36) (the
2 “MSJ”).

3 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1) and LR II 7-2(b), Lash Group’s deadline to file a
4 response to the MSJ was June 2, 2025; DayaMed’s deadline to file a reply in support of the MSJ was
5 June 17, 2025.

6 3. After the MSJ was served, the parties also served each other with written discovery.

7 4. Following a stipulation (ECF No. 37), the Court granted an extension for Lash Group
8 to file its Response to the MSJ to June 10, 2025 and correspondingly extended DayaMed’s deadline
9 to file its reply to June 30, 2025 (ECF No. 38). Lash Group filed its response to DayaMed’s MSJ on
10 June, 10, 2025 (ECF No. 39) and an Errata thereto on June 11, 2025 (ECF No. 40).

11 5. The Parties have agreed to deadlines for discovery responses and DayaMed’s deadline
12 to file a reply to accommodate a variety of interests including personal and professional calendars as
13 well as to allow the parties to explore possible settlement. The parties have agreed to extend
14 deadlines for both parties to respond to discovery and for DayaMed to file a reply in support of its
15 MSJ. The Parties agreed that Lash Group would provide its responses to the written discovery
16 propounded upon it on or before July 8, 2025 and DayaMed would provide its responses to the written
17 discovery propounded upon it on or before July 14, 2025. The Parties agree the deadline for DayaMed
18 to file its reply brief in support of the MSJ is extended until July 14, 2025 (an extension of fourteen
19 (14) additional days from the prior Court’s order entered on May 28, 2025(ECF No. 38)).

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 6. This extended period of time to file a reply brief is necessary to accommodate both
2 professional and personal calendar conflicts for DayaMed's counsel that were sprung into existence
3 when Lash Group received its extension to file its Response to the MSJ. These items were all
4 scheduled and in place prior to the extension granted to Lash Group for its Response. This Parties'
5 stipulation is not made for any improper purpose or to delay any proceeding or other pending matter
6 in this action.

7 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

8 DATED this 25th day of June, 2025.

 DATED this 25th day of June, 2025.

 GUNDERSON LAW FIRM

10 /s/ James D. Boyle

11 James D. Boyle, Esq., NVSB No. 08384
12 Branden D. Jung, Esq., NVSB NO. 14067
13 KEARNEY PUZEY DAMONTE LTD.

/s/ John Funk

 John R. Funk, Esq. NVSB No. 12372
 Attorney for Daya Medicals, Inc.

14 Carol Ann Slocum, Esq. (*Admitted PHV*)
15 KLEHR HARRISON HARVEY
16 BRANZBURG, LLP
17 Attorneys for The Lash Group, LLC

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 

20 Anne R Traum
21 United States District Judge

22 DATED: June 27, 2025